The truncated prelamin A in Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome alters segregation of A-type and B-type lamin homopolymers
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Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) is a dominant autosomal premature aging syndrome caused by the expression of a truncated prelamin A designated progerin (Pgn). A-type and B-type lamins are intermediate filament proteins that polymerize to form the nuclear lamina network apposed to the inner nuclear membrane of vertebrate somatic cells. It is not known if in vivo both type of lamins assemble independently or co-assemble. The blebbing and disorganization of the nuclear envelope and adjacent heterochromatin in cells from patients with HGPS is a hallmark of the disease, and the ex vivo reversal of this phenotype is considered important for the development of therapeutic strategies. Here, we investigated the alterations in the lamina structure that may underlie the disorganization caused in nuclei by Pgn expression. We studied the polymerization of enhanced green fluorescent protein- and red fluorescent protein-tagged wild-type and mutated lamins in the nuclear envelope of living cells by measuring fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) that occurs between the two fluorophores when tagged lamins interact. Using time domain fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy that allows a quantitative analysis of FRET signals, we show that wild-type lamins A and B1 polymerize in distinct homopolymers that further interact in the lamina. In contrast, expressed Pgn co-assembles with lamin B1 and lamin A to form a mixed heteropolymer in which A-type and B-type lamin segregation is lost. We propose that such structural lamina alterations may be part of the primary mechanisms leading to HGPS, possibly by impairing functions specific for each lamin type such as nuclear membrane biogenesis, signal transduction, nuclear compartmentalization and gene regulation.

INTRODUCTION

Lamins are nuclear intermediate filament proteins with a central dimerization domain or rod (Fig. 1A) that polymerize to form the lamina network underlying the inner nuclear membrane (1). Lamina forms a highly stable structure (2) and anchors nuclear pore complexes, heterochromatin and regulatory proteins at the nuclear periphery (3). B-type lamins are constitutive, whereas A-type lamins (lamins A and C) which arise from the LMNA gene by alternative splicing (1,4) are expressed only in differentiated cells (5). Lamin A is synthesized as a precursor, prelamin A, that terminates with a CAAX motif (4). This motif triggers sequential post-translational modifications: farnesylation of the cysteine, removal of the AAX amino acids by the endoprotease Zmpste24 and carboxymethylation of cysteine (6–8). After completion of these modifications, the last 15 amino acids of prelamin A, including the modified cysteine, are also cleaved by the Zmpste24 endoprotease, generating mature lamin A (6–10). The interactions of A- and B-type lamins have been investigated by biochemical methods (11–14) and two-hybrid analyses (15) and homotypic and heterotypic interactions were detected. Whether in vivo lamins co-assemble or assemble independently at the membrane–chromatin interface of somatic cell nuclei is unknown.

Mutations in the LMNA gene cause a wide array of inherited diseases, including myopathies, a partial lipodystrophy, a
RESULTS

tdFLIM is an appropriate method to study lamin–lamin interactions in living cells

We used tdFLIM to detect energy transfer between lamins tagged with EGFP and DsRed fluorescent molecules, as this method possesses features that are most appropriate for the study of protein–protein interactions within polymers. Briefly, when an EGFP-tagged protein (donor) interacts with a DsRed-tagged protein (acceptor) in a co-transfected cell, FRET can occur if the distance between the two fluorophores is less than 10 nm, leading to a faster fluorescence decay of EGFP molecules. The fluorescence lifetime of EGFP molecules involved in FRET (τ_{FRET}) is shorter than that associated with EGFP molecules that do not interact with DsRed (τ_{EGFP}).

These two lifetimes allow the calculation of real FRET efficiency (E) through a simple equation (E = 1 − τ_{FRET}/τ_{EGFP}; see Materials and Methods). The measurement of this E-value is the basis of the tdFLIM method, as differences in E-values will only reflect variations in the distance/orientation between the fluorescent molecules, but neither the variations in the cellular concentration of tagged molecules nor the variations in the relative concentrations of green and red species. This property of tdFLIM was essential for the present study because the dilution of the exogenous fluorescent lamins by endogenous lamins may vary from cell to cell, as well as the relative...
content in green and red fluorescent laminas. In most of the experiments presented here, lamins are tagged at their N-terminal end (Fig. 1A). Once lamins tagged at this site are incorporated in the lamina, the orientation of their fluorophores is expected to be the same. We therefore interpret the differences in E-values found for various lamin combinations as mostly reflecting differences in the distances separating the tagged lamins in the lamina (see Materials and Methods). The second basic feature of tdFLIM is that it makes it possible to measure the proportion of EGFP-tagged molecules involved in FRET in a given cell. This proportion varies depending on two parameters: (i) the ratio of exogenous versus endogenous lamins (unknown at the level of individual cells); and (ii) the ratio of acceptor (DsRed) versus donor (EGFP) molecules, that is measured through the R/G ratio (see Materials and Methods).

In a fraction of cells with an unfavorable balance between these two parameters, the probability of a close proximity of green and red fluorescent markers is low and FRET is undetectable. The measurement at a low R/G value of the fraction of cells with detectable FRET signals allowed us to compare the ability of different lamins to interact with each other (see below).

**Over-expressed lamins are integrated within the lamina**

Lamins tagged at their N-terminal end (Fig. 1A) were transiently expressed in C2C12 myoblasts. A major characteristic of the nuclear lamina is its resistance to extraction by non-ionic detergents and salt. We analyzed the resistance to extraction of exogenous and endogenous lamins in control C2C12 cells (Fig. 1B, upper panel), and in C2C12 cells over-expressing either DsRed–lamin A and EGFP–lamin B1 (Fig. 1B, middle panel) or EGFP–Pgn (Fig. 1B, lower panel). Cells were sequentially extracted with Triton X-100 (TX-100), Triton and salt and finally digested with DNase I and RNase A followed by salt extraction. Supernatants and the final insoluble fraction were analyzed by immunoblotting using antibodies directed against lamins A and C, lamin B1 and EGFP. Exogenous lamins were as resistant to extraction as endogenous lamins (Fig. 1B), showing that they were integrated within the lamina.

The localization of the exogenous lamins at the nuclear envelope was confirmed by fluorescent microscopy data. In C2C12 cells that contain A- and B-type lamins and in P19 embryonic cells with only B-type lamins (26), exogenous wild-type and mutated lamins were targeted to the nuclear envelope with a pattern indistinguishable from that of endogenous lamins (Fig. 2). Over-expression of lamin B1 induced in some cells an enlargement of the nucleus with nuclear envelope folding (Fig. 2A, arrowheads). A similar folding is also observed in some cells expressing exogenous lamin B1 (arrowheads). Bars = 10 μm.

**Figure 2.** Over-expressed tagged lamins localize at the nuclear envelope in C2C12 (A) and P19 (B) cells. Endogenous lamins A and C (La A/C) and B (La B1) are revealed by indirect immunofluorescence in C2C12 and in P19 cells, using antibodies against lamins A and C (anti-La A/C) and antibodies against lamin B1 (anti-La B1). DNA in (B) is labelled with DAPI. Note that A-type lamins are not expressed in P19 cells. Exogenous EGFP- or DsRed-tagged wild-type lamins and Pgn, detected by direct fluorescence, localize at the nuclear periphery. The nuclei in some C2C12 cells expressing progerin are larger than usual and dysmorphic (arrows) with extensive nuclear envelope folding (arrowheads). A similar folding is also observed in some cells expressing exogenous lamin B1 (arrowheads). Bars = 10 μm.
Homotypic and heterotypic lamin interactions are detected within the lamina

We first investigated the occurrence of heterotypic interactions at the nuclear envelope of C2C12 cells transfected with plasmids encoding EGFP–lamin A and DsRed–lamin B1. Figure 3A shows that the fluorescence decay of EGFP–lamin A at the nuclear envelope was more rapid in cotransfected cells (blue curve) than in monotransfected cells (green curve), signaling FRET occurrence. In monotransfected cells, the EGFP fluorescence decay was monoexponential with a lifetime ($\tau_{\text{EGFP}}$) of 2.27 ± 0.07 ns (Table 1). In cells co-expressing tagged lamins in which FRET was detectable, fluorescence decay became faster because of the appearance of a shorter lifetime ($\tau_{\text{FRET}}$) that corresponds to EGFP–lamin A molecules that interact with DsRed–lamin B1 (see also Materials and Methods). Interactions between exogenous lamins A and B1 were also detected in P19 cells that express B-type lamins but not A-type lamins (26). Heterotypic interactions were detected with a mean real FRET efficiency ($E$) of 0.65 ± 0.05 (Table 1). The similar FRET efficiency in two cell lines, one of which is devoid of endogenous A-type lamins, supports the scaffolding role postulated for B-type lamins in the assembly of lamin A (29). It is worth noting that the real FRET efficiency for homotypic lamin B1 interactions ($E = 0.65 ± 0.05$) was higher in P19 cells than in C2C12 cells ($E = 0.57 ± 0.04$; $P < 0.0001$) (Table 1), indicating that the range of distances

![Figure 3. Heterotypic and homotypic interactions between tagged lamins are detected by FRET. C2C12 cells were mono- and cotransfected as indicated. Steady-state fluorescence images were acquired with a CCD camera (CCD panels). Time integrated images obtained for each cell with the quadrant anode detector are presented in the quadrant panels. Nuclear envelope domains (highlighted in yellow in quadrant panels) were selected, and corresponding fluorescent decays are normalized and plotted in the graphs. Green and blue curves refer to fluorescence decays in mono- and cotransfected cells, respectively. (A) FRET occurs in cells co-expressing tagged lamins A (La A) and B1 (La B1). (B) FRET is not detected in cells co-expressing tagged lamin B1 and Pom121. (C) FRET occurs in cells co-expressing EGFP- and DsRed-tagged lamin B1. ns refers to nanosecond. Bars = 10 µm.](http://hmg.oxfordjournals.org/).
Table 1. FRET lifetimes and real FRET efficiencies in cells expressing EGFP and DsRed tagged lamins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-expressed tagged proteins</th>
<th>FRET lifetime $\tau_{\text{FRET}}$ (ns)</th>
<th>Real FRET efficiency $E = 1 - \tau_{\text{FRET}}/\tau_{\text{EGFP}}$</th>
<th>Cell number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interphasic C2C12 cells: $\tau_{\text{EGFP}} = 2.27 \pm 0.07$ ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La B1/EGFP–La A</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La A/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La A/EGFP–La A</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La B1/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.04</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–Pgn/EGFP–C3</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.07**</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–Pgn/EGFP–C3/C3</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.07**</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–Pgn/EGFP–C3/C3/C3</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.05**</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interphasic P19-EC cells: $\tau_{\text{EGFP}} = 2.26 \pm 0.02$ ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La B1/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05***</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La A/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FRET lifetimes ($\tau_{\text{FRET}}$) and real FRET efficiencies ($E$) in transfected C2C12 and P19 cells. Mean $\tau_{\text{FRET}}$ values are expressed in nanoseconds. In each series of experiments, $\tau_{\text{EGFP}}$ is the mean of the lifetimes of EGFP in monotransfected cells. For C2C12 and P19 cells, a total of 136 and 27 nuclei in monotransfected cells were analyzed, as indicated. For each cotransfection, monotransfection with the corresponding EGFP-tagged protein was performed. Mean $\tau_{\text{FRET}}$ and $E$-values include standard errors.

* $P < 0.0001$ versus DsRed–La B1/EGFP–La B1 in C2C12 cells.
** $P < 0.0002$ versus DsRed–La A/EGFP–La A; $P < 0.0004$ versus DsRed–La A/EGFP–La B1 in C2C12 cells.
*** $P < 0.0001$ versus DsRed–La B1/EGFP–La B1 in C2C12 cells.

between lamin B1 molecules in the lamina is different in the two cell lines. This suggests that the structure of lamin B1 polymers varies with the composition of the nuclear envelope, and in particular with the level of expressed lamin A. The conclusion from this series of experiments is that FRET occurred between homologous- and heterologously-tagged lamins in the lamina of living cells.

**Lamin–lamin interactions detected by FRET occur within high order polymers**

As the first step in lamin polymerization is homodimerization (1,30), heterotypic lamin A–lamin B1 interactions detected by FRET were only occurring between homodimers of both types in high order polymers. However, a plausible explanation for the homotypic interactions would be their occurrence at the very first stage of lamin assembly, within the homodimers. To check this possibility, we co-expressed homologous lamins tagged with the two fluorophores and analyzed mitotic cells in which the lamina is disassembled into distinct populations of A-type and B-type homodimers (30). No FRET was detected indicating that energy transfer did not occur within homodimers (data not shown). This suggests that in interphasic cells, FRET occurs between dimers within higher order polymers. To strengthen this hypothesis, we analyzed the signals generated in the nuclear envelope of interphasic C2C12 cells by co-expression of lamin A molecules tagged with EGFP or DsRed at different sites. One lamin A molecule was fused at its N-terminal end to DsRed and another one with EGFP immediately after the rod domain (Fig. 1A, intra-EGFP–La A). In a putative homodimer containing both fluorophores, FRET should not occur because the two tags would be separated by a distance roughly the length of the rod domain (∼50 nm; see Fig. 1A), i.e. greater than the maximum distance compatible with FRET (10 nm). The data showed that FRET was detected between intra-EGFP–lamin A and DsRed–lamin A with an associated lifetime of 0.94 ± 0.14 ns ($n = 25$). Real FRET efficiency ($E = 0.59 ± 0.06$) was lower than that obtained when both tags were present at the N-terminal end of lamin A ($E = 0.64 ± 0.04$; $P < 0.001$). This difference in $E$-values is probably because of different distances between the fluorophores in the lamina and to possible distinct orientations related to their locations in lamin A. These data demonstrate that FRET signals did not occur between two lamin molecules within homodimers, but rather between lamins present in distinct dimers within higher order polymers.

**Homotypic interactions are favored over heterotypic interactions**

In cotransfected cells, it was checked if among the different lamin combinations used here some were more favorable than others in triggering FRET. The acceptor (DsRed) to donor (EGFP) ratio (R/G) was measured in individual cells and found to vary between 2 and 25 (Table 2; see Materials and Methods). In cells containing a large excess of acceptor over donor (R/G > 15), FRET was detected in all cells, whatever the lamin combination (Table 2). With a lower excess of acceptor over donor (R/G < 15), homotypic combinations generated a higher proportion of cells positive for FRET than heterotypic combinations (Table 2, 83 and 93% versus 20%), meaning that the threshold for FRET triggering was lower for homotypic interactions. In the lamina, homotypic interactions are therefore clearly favored when compared with heterotypic interactions.

**Integration of Pgn within the lamina**

We then assessed how truncated prelamin A (Pgn) integrates into the lamina. Figures 1B and 2A show that both EGFP- and DsRed-tagged Pgn molecules are targeted to the nuclear
actions were lower than those previously found for lamin A. These similar real FRET efficiency values found for Pgn interactions were nearly identical when DsRed–Pgn was expressed with EGFP-tagged lamins (DsRed–Pgn). When the tagged Pgn was co-expressed, or when DsRed–Pgn was expressed with EGFP-tagged lamins B1 or A, FRET occurred in all cases, with nearly identical real FRET efficiencies of 0.58 ± 0.07, 0.56 ± 0.07 and 0.59 ± 0.05, respectively (Table 1). Thus, the range of distances separating Pgn molecules from each other, from lamin A and from lamin B1 is identical, supporting an even distribution of these three lamin proteins in the lamina. These similar real FRET efficiency values found for Pgn interactions were lower than those previously found for lamin A interactions with either lamin A \((E = 0.64 \pm 0.04; P < 0.002)\) or lamin B1 \((E = 0.65 \pm 0.04; P < 0.0004)\) (Table 1). In contrast, the \(E\)-values found for Pgn interactions were similar to those previously measured for lamin B1 homotypic interactions \((E = 0.57 \pm 0.04)\), indicating that the common range of distances separating lamins A, B1 and Pgn in the lamina is similar to that previously found between lamin B1 molecules. These data suggest that Pgn behaves similar to lamin B1 during lamina assembly. The fact that lamin B1 co-assemblies preferentially with Pgn rather than with wild-type lamin A is supported by the high proportion of cells in which FRET was detectable when DsRed–Pgn and EGFP–lamin B1 were co-expressed, compared with the low proportion when DsRed–lamin A and EGFP–lamin B1 were co-expressed (62 versus 20%; \(P < 0.05\)) (Table 2; \(R/G < 15\)). Taken together, our data support a model in which Pgn would displace a pool of lamin A from homopolymers to a heterotypic structure containing also lamin B1 and Pgn.

**DISCUSSION**

We used tdFLIM, a quantitative FRET analysis method, to study alterations in lamin polymerization in living cells provoked by the expression of Pgn. As the \(in \text{vivo}\) homo- or heteropolymerization of A- and B-type lamins had not been elucidated, the interactions of wild-type lamins of both types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-expressed tagged proteins</th>
<th>(R/G &lt; 15) (%)</th>
<th>(R/G &gt; 15) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La A/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La A/EGFP–La A</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La B1/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–Pgn/EGFP–Pgn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–Pgn/EGFP–La B1</td>
<td>62*</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–Pgn/EGFP–La A</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DsRed–La A/EGFP–Pgn</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative quantity of DsRed- and EGFP-tagged proteins in transfected cells were calculated from fluorescence intensity measurements as described in Materials and Methods. Data were obtained from about 20 cells in each case and expressed as a percentage (%) of cells in which FRET was detectable. nd, not determined. Statistical data concerning this Table are presented in the text. *\(P < 0.05\) versus DsRed–La A/EGFP–La B1.

**Figure 4.** Hypothetical models of lamina structure represented in a transverse section of the nuclear envelope. (A) Schematic cross-sectional representation of possible polymer assembly of A- and B-type lamins in the lamina. In model 1, lamins are integrated into homopolymers that are not in contact. In model 2, both types of lamins are integrated into mixed polymers where they are evenly distributed. In model 3, lamins of both types interact but they are not evenly distributed. They are integrated either in a heteropolymeric structure or in two homopolymers that are in contact. (B) In a somatic cell, wild-type A- and B-type lamins form homopolymers that interact. Because of the insertion of the farnesyl group (squiggly line) into the inner nuclear membrane, B-type lamins are more closely associated with the membrane than A-type lamins. As lamina is a fenestrated structure, a fraction of the chromatin is represented in contact with the membrane. (C) In a cell expressing Pgn, farnesylated Pgn assembles with B-type lamins to form heteropolymers, whereas homogeneous polymerization of B-type lamins is abolished. As Pgn still associates with a fraction of wild-type lamin A, the new mixed polymer of lamin B and Pgn, also contains lamin A (B-P-A).
with either an heteropolymeric structure with an uneven distribution of both lamins or the juxtaposition of homopolymers of lamin A and lamin B1 in close contact. FRET analysis did not allow us to distinguish between these two possibilities, but the existence of homotypic structures of both lamin types in living cells supports the latter possibility. In the nuclei of embryonic and stem cells, the lamina is exclusively composed of B-type lamins (5). In contrast, nuclei in cultured fibroblasts of individuals expressing lamin A bearing missense mutations (31,32) develop membrane herniations that contain a lamina structure exclusively composed of A-type lamins. As homopolymerization of A- and B-type lamins were shown by these previous studies to occur in living cells and heterotypic interactions of lamins are demonstrated in the present study, we favor the model of lamina in which interaction occurs between juxtaposed A-type and B-type lamin homopolymers.

We further propose an additional feature of the lamina structure linked to the difference in post-translational modifications present at the C-terminal end of mature lamin B1 and lamin A. B-type lamins are permanently farnesylated at their C-terminal end (7,8), whereas this modification occurs only transiently in the process of prelamin A maturation, because of the action of the specific membranous endoprotease Zmpste24 (6,8–10). We suggest that polymers of farnesylated B-type lamins associate more closely with the membrane than polymers of A-type lamins (Fig. 4B), because of the integration of the hydrophobic farnesyl group within the inner nuclear membrane and/or association with a putative isoprenyl-carboxy methyl-lamin receptor (33).

The same approach was used to analyze how tagged-Pgn integrates and/or disrupts the lamina network. We showed that Pgn can interact with both lamin A and lamin B1, as well as with Pgn. The observation that real FRET efficiencies are the same for these lamin combinations (Table 1) supports an even distribution of the three lamin proteins in the lamina. The shared real FRET efficiency value was lower than that previously found for wild-type lamin A homotypic and heterotypic interactions, but similar to that measured for lamin B1 homotypic interactions. Thus, the range of distances separating Pgn and lamins A and B1 in the lamina is identical to the range of distances separating lamin B1 molecules in homotypic polymers. These data suggest that Pgn behaves like lamin B1 during lamina assembly. This conclusion was strengthened by the fact that lamin B1 preferentially co-assembles with Pgn rather than with wild-type lamin A (Table 2). Taken together, our data support a model in which Pgn would displace a pool of lamin A from homopolymers to form a heterotypic structure containing evenly distributed lamin B1, Pgn and lamin A (Fig. 4C). Expression of Pgn would thus switch lamina structure from model 3 to model 2 (Fig. 4A).

This change in lamina assembly may explain how endogenous as well as exogenous Pgn generate dysmorphic nuclei with extensive nuclear membranes (24,25) (Fig. 2A). In normal cells, the cysteine residue post-translationally modified at the C-terminus of B-type lamins is responsible for the growth of the nuclear membrane (34,35). This may result from the insertion of this hydrophobic moiety into the membrane lipid bilayer or by interaction with a putative receptor (33). The terminal cysteine modifications are abnormally conserved in Pgn (20) and may allow this truncated prelamin A to preferentially associate with lamin B1 and induce abnormal membrane biogenesis via the same mechanisms. In support with our model, similar altered nuclear phenotypes occur in mouse cells that accumulate full-length prelamin A because of a deficiency in the expression of the Zmpste24 endoprotease (6,9), a reversal of the phenotype being achieved by blocking farnesyltransferase (19–23).

The assembly of Pgn with B-type and A-type lamins in an abnormal network may also modify the interactions of these lamins with their respective partners in adjacent structures (16,33,36). Ultrastructural modifications of the lamina and peripheral heterochromatin are observed in nuclei of subjects with HGPS, together with an alteration in the distribution of nuclear pore complexes in the nuclear envelope (24). Ultrastructural chromatin abnormalities are also present in nuclei from Zmpste24-deficient mice (37). Finally, the loss of A-type and B-type polymer segregation may also disturb the interactions of the lamina with various partners, generating pleiotropic downstream cellular events such as upregulation of p53 target genes (38), defective DNA repair (37) and impairment of DNA replication and transcriptional activity (24), finally leading to increased apoptosis and abnormal proliferation (39).

The tdFLIM technique is an appropriate method to analyze the changes of lamina structure in living cells expressing either Pgn or various mutated lamins A responsible for numerous severe disorders (16). It also represents a promising quantitative approach to evaluate reversal to a normal phenotype in the development of therapeutic strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid constructions

pEGFP–lamin B1 and POM121–EGFP3 were gifts of Dr J. Ellenberg (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany). The pEGFP–prelamin A construct has been described (40). The pDsRed–lamin A and pDsRed–lamin B1 constructs were produced in pDsRed–C1 vectors (Clontech Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) with DsRed in frame at the N-terminus of the full-length cDNAs of lamins. Intra-EGFP–prelamin A construction was made following a three-step cloning strategy. From the pEGFP–prelamin A plasmid, a cDNA encoding the first 414 residues of prelamin A [prelamin A (1–414)] was amplified by PCR, with an EcoRI restriction site engineered at the 5'-end of the sense primer (5'-GCC GGA AAT TCT ATG GAG ACC CCG TCC CAG GGG 3') and a KpnI site engineered at the 5'-end of the anti-sense primer (5'-GC GTG ACC CCC ACC CTC TGT CTG GGA TGA 3') (restriction sites underlined). The reaction products were digested with EcoRI and KpnI and ligated into the EcoRI and KpnI sites of pSVK3 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Uppsala, Sweden) similarly digested. From the pEGFP–C1 plasmid, the EGFP cDNA was amplified by PCR, with a KpnI site engineered at the 5'-end of the sense primer (5'-GC GGT ACC ATG GTG AGC AAG GGC GAG GAG GAG 3') and a BamHI site engineered at the 5'-end of the anti-sense primer (5'-GC GGA TCC CTT GTA CAG CTC GTC CAT GCC 3'). The reaction products were digested with BamHI and...
and KpnI and ligated into the BamHI and KpnI sites of pSVK3–prelamin A (1–414) similarly digested. From pEGFP–prelamin A, a cDNA encoding the last 220 residues of prelamin A was amplified by PCR, with a BamHI site engineered at the 5′-end of the sense primer (5′ GC GGA TCC AGC GTC ACC AAA AAG CGC AAA 3′) and an XhoI site engineered at the 5′-end of the anti-sense primer (5′ GC CTC GAG TTA CAT GAT GCT GCA GTT CTG 3′). The reaction products were digested with BamHI and XhoI and ligated into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pSVK3–prelamin A (1–414)–EGFP similarly digested. From pEGFP–prelamin A and pDsRed–prelamin A, the sequence corresponding to a region in the C-terminal domain of prelamin A was removed by digestion with SanDI and Acc65I and replaced by the DNA sequence specific of Pgn (internal deletion of bp 1723 to 1772 with a SanDI site in the 5′-end of the sense oligonucleotide (sense oligonucleotide: 5′ GAC CCC GCT GAG TAC AAC CTG CGC TCG ACC ATG TGC GGG ACC ACC TG 3′; anti-sense oligonucleotide 5′ GT CCC GCA GGT CCC GCA CAG CAC GGT GCG CAG CAG CAG GTT GTA CTC AGC GGG 3′). The second couple of ss oligonucleotides was designed to correspond to the LMNA gene from bp 1723 to 1772 with a SanDI site in the 5′-end of the sense oligonucleotide (sense oligonucleotide 5′ GGG ACC TG 3′). A titanium sapphire laser (Millennia 651.651–end of the sense primer (5′ GAC CCC GCT GAG TTA CAT GAT GCT GCA GTT CTG 3′). The second couple of ss oligonucleotides was designed to correspond to bp 1773–1818 of the LMNA gene followed by bp 1869–1992, extended with CTTA and an Acc65I site at the 5′-end of the anti-sense oligonucleotide (sense oligonucleotide 5′ C GGC CAG CCT GCC GAC AGA TCT GCC AGC GGC TCA GGA GGC CAG AGC CCC CAG AAC TGC ATC ATG TAA G 3′; anti-sense oligonucleotide 5′ GT ACC TTA CAT GAT GCT GCA GTT CTG GGG GCT CTG GGC TCC TGA GCC GCT GGC AGA TGC CTT GTC GCC AGG C 3′). After duplex formation, the two double strand (ds) oligonucleotides were annealed and the purified dsDNA sequence (154 bp) was inserted either into the pEGFP–prelamin A or into pDsRed1–prelamin A vector previously digested with SanDI and Acc65I.

tdFLIM acquisitions

The apparatus used for FRET determination performs tdFLIM by the time- and space-correlated single photon counting method (41). This method directly gives the picosecond (ps) time-resolved fluorescence decay for every pixel by counting and sampling single emitted photons according to: (i) the time delay between photon arrival and laser pulse (ps time scale, 4096 channels); and (ii) their xy coordinate (256 × 256 pixel image). A titanium sapphire laser (Millennia 5W/Tsunami 3960–M3BB-UPK kit, Spectra-Physics, France) that delivers ps pulses was tuned at 960 nm to obtain an excitation wavelength at 480 nm after frequency doubling. The repetition rate was 4 MHz after pulse-picker (Spectra-Physics 3980–35, France). The laser beam was expanded and inserted into an inverted epifluorescence microscope (Leica DMIRBE, France) for wide-field illumination (a few mW/cm²). The microscope stage was equipped with an incubator system for temperature and CO₂ regulation (37°C, 5% CO₂). Green fluorescence decay images were taken using a Leica Plan-Apochromat 100X 1.3NA oil objective, a dichroic beam splitter (505DRLP; Omega; Optophotonics, Euabonne, France), an emission filter (535DF35; Omega; Optophotonics), and the quadrant-anode TSCSPC detector (QA, Europhoton GmbH, Germany). The band-pass emission filter (515 nm < λ_em < 560 nm) was chosen to select the donor fluorescence (EGFP) and to reject the acceptor fluorescence (DsRed). The count rate was up to 50 kHz. Acquisition of fluorescence decay images was done after accumulation of sufficient single photon events, usually 3–6 min. A temporal resolution of less than 100 ps and a spatial resolution of 500 nm were determined previously for this system (41).

tdFLIM data analysis using a three-exponential model

For qualitative determination of FRET, the fluorescence decays of EGFP within the regions of interest were extracted from the acquisition matrix and the decays of EGFP-tagged lamins (donor) in the presence of DsRed-tagged lamins (acceptor) were compared with the control decays of the EGFP-tagged proteins measured in the absence of acceptor. To perform the quantitative analysis of FRET, the exponential fluorescence decays were further deconvoluted with the instrument response function and fitted by a Marquardt nonlinear least-square algorithm using Globals Unlimited software (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA) with discrete lifetimes as theoretical model.

For each tdFLIM experiment, the fluorescence decays of EGFP in cells expressing EGFP-tagged proteins alone (monotransfected cells) or with DsRed-tagged proteins (cotransfected cells) were analyzed. The fluorescence decay of EGFP-tagged proteins in monotontransfected cells was monoexponential with a lifetime of 2.27 ± 0.07 ns in C2C12 cells (n = 136). As the DsRed protein emits a weak green fluorescence before maturation in vitro (42) as well as in vivo (43), the decays of the green species of DsRed–lamins (prelamin P19) were analyzed. The fluorescence decay of EGFP-tagged proteins in monotontransfected cells was monoexponential with a lifetime of 2.27 ± 0.07 ns in C2C12 cells (n = 136). As the DsRed protein emits a weak green fluorescence in cells expressing EGFP-tagged proteins alone (monotransfected cells) or with DsRed-tagged proteins (cotransfected cells) were analyzed. The fluorescence decay of EGFP-tagged proteins in monotontransfected cells was monoexponential with a lifetime of 2.27 ± 0.07 ns in C2C12 cells (n = 136). As the DsRed protein emits a weak green fluorescence before maturation in vitro (42) as well as in vivo (43), the decays of the green species of DsRed (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1) in the 515 and 560 nm interval were analyzed and found to fit with a two-exponential model, with lifetimes of 2.30 ± 0.50 ns and 0.24 ± 0.07 ns in C2C12 cells (n = 51), and 2.54 ± 0.26 ns and 0.25 ± 0.02 ns in P19 cells (n = 17), respectively. These values were consistent with those previously found in vitro and in vivo (44,45). The component with the shorter lifetime was 89–96%. In cotransfected cells in which the fluorescence decay was more rapid with discrete lifetimes as theoretical model.

The decays of the green species of DsRed–lamins (prelamin A) in the presence of DsRed in vivo (43) were fit with a three-exponential model. For C2C12 and P19 cells, the fluorescence lifetime of free EGFP–lamins (τ_EGFP) was fixed at 2.27 and 2.26 ns, and that of the major component of the green species of DsRed–lamins (τ_DsRed) at 0.24 and 0.25 ns, respectively. The third lifetime corresponding to FRET (τ_FRET) remained free. In cotransfected cells, the time-dependent fluorescence decay I(t) in the selected area is given by the sum:

\[
I(t) = \alpha_{EGFP} e^{-t/\tau_{EGFP}} + \alpha_{DsRed} e^{-t/\tau_{DsRed}} + \alpha_{FRET} e^{-t/\tau_{FRET}}
\]
in which \( \alpha_{\text{EGFP}} \), \( \alpha_{\text{DsRed}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{FRET}} \) are the corresponding pre-exponential factors.

**Calculation of FRET features**

The proportion of EGFP-tagged proteins involved in FRET corresponds to the ratio

\[
\alpha_{\text{FRET}}/(\alpha_{\text{EGFP}} + \alpha_{\text{FRET}})
\]

The value of this ratio varied as a function of the intensity of the acceptor (DsRed) fluorescence, similarly for all couples of lamins analyzed in the present study (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2).

The real FRET efficiency \( (E) \) can be calculated by the equation:

\[
E = 1 - \frac{\tau_{\text{FRET}}}{\tau_{\text{EGFP}}}
\]

\( E \) depends on the distance separating the donor and acceptor fluorophores and on their orientations. In most of the experiments presented here, lamins are tagged at their N-terminal end (Fig. 1A). Once lamins tagged at this site are incorporated into the lamina, the orientation of their fluorophores is expected to be the same. We therefore interpret the differences in \( E \)-values found for various lamin combinations as mostly reflecting differences in the distances separating the tagged lamins in the lamina. To a lower \( \tau_{\text{FRET}} \) corresponds a higher transfer efficiency, indicative of a shorter distance \( (R) \) between the chromophores engaged in FRET, following the equation:

\[
E = \frac{R_0^6}{(R_0^6 + R^6)}
\]

where the Förster distance \( R_0 \) corresponds to the distance at which 50% efficiency of energy transfer takes place. In the case of fluorophores that move freely, \( R_0 \) is 4.7 nm for the couple EGFP and DsRed (46).

The percentage of cells with detectable FRET varies as a function of the acceptor to donor expression ratio. The relative quantity of EGFP- and DsRed-tagged proteins in transfected cells was calculated from additional green and red fluorescence intensity images acquired using appropriate filter cubes, a mercury lamp and a conventional CCD camera (Silar, St Petersburg, Russia). The intensities of EGFP \( (I_{\text{EGFP}}) \) and DsRed \( (I_{\text{Red}}) \) signals were estimated in arbitrary units separately for green and red images. The mean gray level of regions of the nuclear envelope was calculated by taking into account the exposure time and excitation level, allowing for a comparison of the signals between different cells. FRET was measured in cells in which the acceptor was in excess over the donor, with a ratio \( I_{\text{Red}}/I_{\text{EGFP}} \) between 2 and 25. \( I_{\text{EGFP}} \) was above 2 (maximum 50) and \( I_{\text{Red}} \) above 10 (maximum 300). Global analysis of the data shows that the value 15 for the R/G ratio divides the data into two classes. Above this value, nearly 100% of cells generate FRET signals whatever may be the lamin combination (Table 2). Below this saturation value, the percentage of cells generating FRET signals was highly dependent upon the lamin combination (Table 2). Therefore, the latter condition was used to determine if some lamin conditions were more favorable than others to trigger FRET.

**Cell culture and transfections**

The original mouse myoblast C2C12 cell line was grown in DMEM medium containing 15% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1 mM glutamine, and 1% antibiotics (100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin). Mitotic C2C12 cells accumulated by overnight culture in the presence of 40 ng/ml nocodazole. Mouse embryonic P19 cells were grown in α-MEM medium containing 7.5% FCS and identical additives. Cells grown to 50% confluency in chamber slides were transfected using FuGene6 (Roche Diagnostic Co., IN, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were used 40–48 h after transfection.

**Immunoblotting and fluorescence microscopy**

Both methods were performed as previously described (31,32,40).

**Statistical analysis**

In Table 1, real FRET efficiencies were compared using the Student \( t \)-test. Percentages of cells positive for FRET (Table 2) were compared using the \( \chi^2 \) test.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

Supplementary Material is available at HMG Online.
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